

Weak two-scale convergence in L^2 for a two-dimensional case

Hội tụ hai-kích thước yếu trong L^2 cho một trường hợp hai chiều

Tina Mai^{a,b,*}

Mai Ti Na^{a,b,*}

^aInstitute of Research and Development, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, 550000, Vietnam

^bFaculty of Natural Sciences, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, 550000, Vietnam

^aViện Nghiên cứu và Phát triển Công nghệ Cao, Trường Đại học Duy Tân, Đà Nẵng, Việt Nam

^bKhoa Khoa học Tự nhiên, Trường Đại học Duy Tân, Đà Nẵng, Việt Nam

(Ngày nhận bài: 16/06/2021, ngày phản biện xong: 19/06/2021, ngày chấp nhận đăng: 20/10/2021)

Abstract

In this paper, we present definitions and some properties of the weak two-scale convergence (introduced byNguetseng in 1989) for component-wise vector or matrix functions within a two-dimensional case.

Keywords: two-scale homogenization; weak two-scale convergence; two-dimensional

Tóm tắt

Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi trình bày các định nghĩa và một số tính chất của hội tụ hai-kích thước yếu (được giới thiệu bởi Nguetseng vào năm 1989) cho các hàm vectơ hoặc ma trận trong một trường hợp hai chiều.

Từ khóa: đồng nhất hóa hai-kích thước; hội tụ hai-kích thước yếu; hai chiều

1. Introduction

Let us consider in dimension two, a bounded reference domain $\Omega = \Omega^1 \times \Omega^2 \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and a variable $\mathbf{x} = (x^1, x^2) \in \Omega$. Within two-scale homogenization theory, when it is not possible to calculate limit in terms of the usual weak limit, it can be possible in terms of two-scale limit introduced in 1989 by Nguetseng [1]. In this spirit, we first present a brief review of the usual weak convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$ then the definitions and properties of the weak two-scale convergence for component-wise vector or matrix functions

[2, 3, 4, 5], in a two-dimensional case.

2. Preliminaries

Latin indices vary in the set $\{1, 2\}$. The space of functions, vector fields in \mathbb{R}^2 , and 2×2 matrix fields, defined over Ω are respectively denoted by italic capitals (e.g. $L^2(\Omega)$), boldface Roman capitals (e.g. \mathbf{V}), and special Roman capitals (e.g. \mathbb{S}).

Throughout the study, we use the following list of notations [2]:

- $Y := [0, 1]^2$ is the reference periodic cell.

*Corresponding Author: Tina Mai; Institute of Research and Development, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, 550000, Vietnam; Faculty of Natural Sciences, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, 550000, Vietnam; Email: maitina@duytan.edu.vn

- $C_0(\Omega)$ is the space of functions that vanish at infinity.
- We denote by $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y)$ the Y -periodic C^∞ vector-valued functions in \mathbb{R}^2 . Here, Y -periodic means 1-periodic in each variable $y^i, i = 1, 2$.
- $H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)$, as the closure for the H^1 -norm of $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y)$, is the space of vector-valued functions $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(Y)$ such that $\mathbf{v}(y)$ is Y -periodic in \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_Y = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \mathbf{v}(y) \, dy.$$

•

•

$$H_{\text{per}}^1(Y) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y) \mid \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle_Y = 0 \}.$$

- We write \cdot for the canonical inner products in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, respectively.
- \lesssim means \leq up to a multiplicative constant that only depends on Ω when appropriate.

The Sobolev norm $\| \cdot \|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)}$ is of the form

$$\| \mathbf{v} \|_{W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} = (\| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

here, $\| \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} := \| | \mathbf{v} | \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, where $| \mathbf{v} |$ denotes the Euclidean norm of the 2-component vector-valued function \mathbf{v} , and $\| \nabla \mathbf{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)} := \| | \nabla \mathbf{v} | \|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, where $| \nabla \mathbf{v} |$ denotes the Frobenius norm of the 2×2 matrix $\nabla \mathbf{v}$. We recall that the Frobenius norm on $L^2(\Omega)$ is defined by $| \mathbf{X} |^2 := \mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{X} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})$.

Let ϵ be a natural small scale. For prospective applications in homogenization, based on [6, 7, 8, 9], we consider $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ depending only on x^1 , that is, $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x^1)$, with boundary conditions of Neumann type. As noticed in [10], we do not discriminate a function on \mathbb{R} from its extension to \mathbb{R}^2 as a function of the first variable only. We assume that $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x^1) = \mathbf{u}\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right)$ is a periodic function in x^1 with

period ϵ , equivalently, $\mathbf{u}\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) = \mathbf{u}(y^1)$ is a periodic function in y^1 with period 1. It means that for any integer k ,

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x^1) = \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x^1 + \epsilon) = \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x^1 + k\epsilon),$$

equivalently,

$$\mathbf{u}\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) = \mathbf{u}\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon} + 1\right) = \mathbf{u}\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon} + k1\right) = \mathbf{u}(y^1 + k).$$

3. Weak convergence

We describe the basic notions of the theory of two-scale convergence (thanks to [4, 5]). Two-scale convergence here can be viewed as a generalized version of the usual weak convergence in the Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$, which is defined as follows [4].

Let us consider a sequence of functions $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega)$. By definition, (\mathbf{u}_ϵ) is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ if

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega | \mathbf{u}_\epsilon |^2 \, dx \leq c < \infty,$$

for some positive constant c .

We say that a sequence $(\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})) \in L^2(\Omega)$ is weakly convergent to $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \in L^2(\Omega)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, denoted by $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}$, if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \, dx = \int_\Omega \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} \, dx, \quad (1)$$

for any test function $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Moreover, a sequence (\mathbf{u}_ϵ) in $L^2(\Omega)$ is defined to be strongly convergent to $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, denoted by $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$, if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \mathbf{u}_\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \, dx = \int_\Omega \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx, \quad (2)$$

for every sequence $(\mathbf{v}_\epsilon) \in L^2(\Omega)$ which is weakly convergent to $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)$.

We then have the following well-known weak convergence properties in $L^2(\Omega)$.

- Any weakly convergent sequence is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$.
- Compactness principle: any bounded sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$ contains a weakly convergent subsequence.

(c) If a sequence (\mathbf{u}_ϵ) is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$ and (1) holds for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \mathbf{u}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

(d) If $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{v}_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)$, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon \cdot \mathbf{v}_\epsilon \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \, dx.$$

(e) Weak convergence of (\mathbf{u}_ϵ) to \mathbf{u} in $L^2(\Omega)$ together with

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_\epsilon|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx$$

is equivalent to strong convergence of (\mathbf{u}_ϵ) to \mathbf{u} in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $Y = [0, 1]^2$ the cell of periodicity. (In our case, a periodic cell has the form $Y = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.) The mean value of a 1-periodic function $\psi(y^1)$ is denoted by $\langle \psi \rangle$, that is,

$$\langle \psi \rangle \equiv \int_{Y^1} \psi(y^1) \, dy^1.$$

Recall that $y^1 = \epsilon^{-1} x^1$, and we do not distinguish between a function on Y^1 and its extension to Y as a function of the first variable only.

Also, here, the symbol $L^2(Y)$ works not only for functions defined on Y but also for the space of functions in $L^2(Y)$ extended by 1-periodicity to the whole of \mathbb{R}^2 . Similarly, $C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y)$ denotes the space of infinitely differentiable 1-periodic functions on the whole \mathbb{R}^2 .

For later discussion, we introduce the following classical result.

Lemma 3.1 (The mean value property). *Let $\mathbf{h}(y^1)$ be a 1-periodic function on \mathbb{R} and $\mathbf{h} \in L^2(Y^1)$. Then, for any bounded domain Ω , there holds the weak convergence*

$$\mathbf{h}\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \langle \mathbf{h} \rangle \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Proof. The proof is based on property (c) and can be found in [4]. \square

4. Weak two-scale convergence

As mentioned in [4], in homogenization theory, one often has to handle quantities of the form (for our case)

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \left(\phi(\mathbf{x}) h\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) \right) \, dx,$$

where $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u, \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ a scalar function, $h \in C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y^1)$. In general, it is not possible to calculate this limit in terms of the usual weak limit u . However, it is possible in terms of the two-scale limit introduced in 1989 by Nguetseng [1]. In this spirit, we have the following definition of weak two-scale convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$ [2, 3].

Definition 4.1. *Let (u_ϵ) be a bounded sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$. If there exist a subsequence, still denoted by u_ϵ , and a function $u(\mathbf{x}, y^1) \in L^2(\Omega \times Y^1)$, where $Y^1 = [0, 1]$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \left(\phi(\mathbf{x}) h\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) \right) \, dx \\ = \int_{\Omega \times Y^1} u(\mathbf{x}, y^1) (\phi(\mathbf{x}) h(y^1)) \, dx \, dy^1 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and any $h \in C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y^1)$, then such a sequence u_ϵ is said to weakly two-scale converge to $u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$. This convergence is denoted by $u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \rightharpoonup u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$.

For vector (or matrix) \mathbf{u}_ϵ , equation (4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) \, dx \\ = \int_{\Omega \times Y^1} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, y^1) \cdot \Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1) \, dx \, dy^1, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for every $\Phi \in L^2(\Omega; C_{\text{per}}(Y^1))$, whose choice is explained in [11] (p. 8).

The Definition 4.1 makes sense because of the following compactness result, which was proved in [12] and first in [1].

Theorem 4.2. *Any bounded sequence $u_\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega)$ contains a weakly two-scale convergent subsequence.*

Proof. The proof is obtained as in [4] or [5] with the help of the mean value property (3). \square

Remark 4.3. Regarding the class of test functions $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), h \in C_{per}^\infty(Y^1)$ in condition of (4), it can be extended (with the help of the density argument) to the class of test functions $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), h \in L^2(Y^1)$.

Consequently, the convergence $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u$ implies the convergence

$$u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})b\left(\frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)b(y^1), \quad \forall b \in L^\infty(Y^1). \tag{6}$$

We now have the following lower semicontinuity property [4].

Lemma 4.4. If $u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}) \rightharpoonup u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$, then

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega \times Y^1} |u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)|^2 dx dy^1. \tag{7}$$

Proof. The proof can be found in [4] or [5]. Specifically, denote by \mathcal{D} a countable set of functions which is dense in $L^2(\Omega \times Y^1)$ and consists of finite sums of the form

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1) = \sum \phi_j(\mathbf{x})h_j(y^1), \tag{8}$$

where $\phi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), h_j \in C_{per}^\infty(Y^1)$.

For any test function of the form (8), using Young's inequality, we have

$$2 \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| \Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^2 dx.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, by definition of weak two-scale convergence and the mean value property, we get

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} |u_\epsilon|^2 dx \geq 2 \int_{\Omega \times Y^1} u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1) dx dy^1 - \int_{\Omega \times Y^1} |\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1)|^2 dx dy^1.$$

Now, choosing a sequence $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1) = \Phi_k(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$ such that $\Phi_k \rightarrow u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$ in $L^2(\Omega \times Y^1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (7). \square

Recall that a function $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$ on $\Omega \times Y^1$ is said to be a *Carathéodory function* if it is continuous in $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ for almost all $y^1 \in Y^1$ and measurable in y^1 for any $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$.

Now, we formulate an important result about the extension of the class of admissible functions in the original Definition 4.1. More details and proofs can be found in [11, 12, 13].

Lemma 4.5. Let $u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$. If $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1)$ is a Carathéodory function and $|\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1)| \leq \Phi_0(y^1), \Phi_0 \in L^2(Y^1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} u_\epsilon(\mathbf{x})\Phi\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{x^1}{\epsilon}\right) dx \\ = \int_{\Omega \times Y^1} u(\mathbf{x}, y^1)\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1) dx dy^1. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In particular, one can choose $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, y^1) = \phi(\mathbf{x})h(y^1), \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), h \in L^2(Y^1)$.

References

- [1] Gabriel Nguetseng. A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 20(3):608–623, 1989.
- [2] Mikhaila Cherdantsev, Kirillb Cherednichenko, and Stefan Neukamm. High contrast homogenisation in nonlinear elasticity under small loads. *Asymptotic Analysis*, 104(1-2), 2017.
- [3] Mustapha El Jarroudi. Homogenization of a nonlinear elastic fibre-reinforced composite: A second gradient nonlinear elastic material. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 403(2):487 – 505, 2013.
- [4] V. V. Zhikov and G. A. Yosifian. Introduction to the theory of two-scale convergence. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 197(3):325–357, Mar 2014.
- [5] Dag Lukkassen and Peter Wall. Two-scale convergence with respect to measures and homogenization of monotone operators. *Journal of function spaces and applications*, 3(2):125–161, 2005.
- [6] Hervé Le Dret. An example of H^1 -unboundedness of solutions to strongly elliptic systems of partial differential equations in a laminated geometry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 105(1), 1987.
- [7] S. Nekhlaoui, A. Qaiss, M.O. Bensalah, and A. Lekhder. A new technique of laminated composites homogenization. *Adv. Theor. Appl. Mech.*, 3:253–261, 2010.
- [8] A. El Omri, A. Fennan, F. Sidoroff, and A. Hihi. Elastic-plastic homogenization for layered composites. *European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids*, 19(4):585 – 601, 2000.

- [9] G. A. Pavliotis and A. M. Stuart. *Multiscale methods: Averaging and homogenization*, volume 53 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag New York, 2008.
- [10] Giuseppe Geymonat, Stefan Müller, and Nicolas Triantafyllidis. Homogenization of nonlinearly elastic materials, microscopic bifurcation and macroscopic loss of rank-one convexity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 122(3):231–290, 1993. DOI: 10.1007/BF00380256.
- [11] Dag Lukkassen, Gabriel Nguetseng, and Peter Wall. Two-scale convergence. *International journal of pure and applied mathematics*, 2(1):35–86, 2002.
- [12] Grégoire Allaire. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 23(6):1482–1518, 1992.
- [13] V. V. Zhikov. On two-scale convergence. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 120(3):1328–1352, Mar 2004.